

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/082,909	02/26/2002	Darrel Lee Turner	034405-9016-00 2670			
7590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER				
Timothy M. Kelley			WATTS, DO	WATTS, DOUGLAS D		
Michael Best &	Friedrich LLP					
100 East Wisconsin Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108			3724	3724		

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/082,909	TURNER ET AL.		i				
		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Douglas D. Watts	3724						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/10/	<u>04</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat	4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 19-21,30-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 11-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,9,10,16,20,30-37 and 39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6,8,19,21,38 and 40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	• •	. 🗖							
1) \(\sum \) Notice 2) \(\sum \) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summäry Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7, 16, 20, 30, 32-33, 36, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lazarchik. Applicant claims a process wherein a blank with top bottom and edge surfaces is given a bevel on the edge and the edge formed is repositioned. This is shown by Lazarchik. Note column 3, lines 30-38 and 45-49. Note further that repositioning one edge does not automatically reposition the second edge.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 9-10, 31, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazarchik. Applicant calls for the use of a stamping die to reposition the edge, work stations and repositioning the edges simultaneously. It is felt that work stations are well known for processes involving plural operations and would be obvious to use with Lazarchik. Further it is felt that the obvious method of making the device of Lazarchik would involve repositioning the edges simultaneously since it would serve no

purpose to do them sequentially. Also stamping dies are well known for cold working material as is done in the instant case and would be obvious to use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 8, 19, 21, 38, 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11-15 are allowed. The claimed repositioning of the beveled edges to be asymmetrical is not show or suggested.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments are well chosen but moot in view of the newly cited art. Note that the modifications to the claims avoided the art cited in the first Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/082,909 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas D. Watts whose telephone number is (703) 308-0153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Shoap can be reached on (703) 308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DDW 5/24/04

> DOUGLAS D. WATTS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dup Man